	Case 2:05-cv-01766-JCC Document 3 Filed 11/01/05 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6	AT SEATTLE
7	JIMMIE LEE JONES, JR.,)
8	Petitioner,) CASE NO. C05-1766-JCC-JPD)
9	v.)
10	DOUG WADDINGTON,) REPORT & RECOMMENDATION)
11	Respondent.)
12	Petitioner is a state prisoner who has filed a <i>pro se</i> petition for a writ habeas corpus pursuant
13	to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, along with an application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (Dkt. #1).
14	Petitioner was convicted in 2002 of first degree assault and was sentenced to 14 years in prison.
15	(Dkt. #1, Petition at 1). Petitioner's direct appeal in state court was unsuccessful. (<i>Id.</i> at 2). In his
16	petition, petitioner states that he filed a personal restraint petition ("PRP") in the Washington Court
17	of Appeals on July 27, 2005, and that the PRP is still pending. (<i>Id.</i> at 3-4). Because petitioner
18	concedes that his PRP is pending, petitioner's habeas petition is premature. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)
19	(a habeas petitioner must exhaust his state remedies before filing a petition in federal court); See
20	United States v. Deeb, 944 F.2d 545, 548 (9th Cir. 1991) (a district court should not entertain a
21	collateral attack on a conviction while other remedies are pending because to do so would thwart
22	judicial economy). Thus, the court concludes that the instant habeas petition is premature and should
23	be dismissed without prejudice. A proposed Order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.
24	DATED this 1st day of November, 2005.
25	James P. Donohue James P. Donohue
26	United States Magistrate Judge

REPORT & RECOMMENDATION